

Concentration Bound for Stochastic Approximation with Markov Noise

Siddharth Chandak, 17D070019

Guide - Prof. Vivek S. Borkar

12th May 2021

Electrical Engineering
IIT Bombay

Outline

- Introduction
 - An Example: Q-Learning
- Main Result
 - Setup
- Proof (Outline)
- Application: Asynchronous Q-Learning

Introduction

Stochastic Approximation

- Method to solve $h(x) = 0$ given noisy measurements of $h(\cdot)$
- Basic form:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(h(x_n) + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- Has applications in:
 - Reinforcement learning algorithms (will see soon)
 - Stochastic Gradient Descent

Fixed Point Schemes

- Contraction: $\|F(x - y)\| \leq \alpha\|(x - y)\|$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$
- Fixed Point: $F(x^*) = x^*$
- Iteration:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- Almost sure convergence¹ to x^*

¹Under appropriate conditions

With Markov Noise

- Y_n : irreducible, finite state space Markov chain
- Iteration:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n, Y_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

- Contraction:

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S} \pi(i)(F(x, i) - F(z, i)) \right\| \leq \alpha \|x - z\|$$

- Fixed Point: $\sum_i \pi(i)F(x^*, i) = x^*$
- Almost sure convergence² of iterates x_n to x^*

²Under appropriate conditions

- ‘High probability’ concentration bound
- $\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \text{_____}$ for all $n \geq n_0$
with probability exceeding $1 - \text{_____}$
- Extension of previous work³ which considers contractive stochastic approximation

³V. S. Borkar, “A concentration bound for contractive stochastic approximation”, *Systems and Control Letters*

Example: A very brief introduction to Q-learning

- Consider finite state space S and finite action space A
- At each time step n , agent chooses action $Z_n \in A$ when it is in state $X_n \in S$
- Markov control policy:

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_m, Z_m, m \leq n) = p(j | X_n, Z_n) \quad \forall n,$$

- Objective: Minimize

$$E \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma^m k(X_m, Z_m) \right]$$

Example: A very brief introduction to Q-learning

- Q-Learning Algorithm:

$$Q_{n+1}(i, u) = Q_n(i, u) + a(n)I\{X_n = i, Z_n = u\} \\ \times \left(k(i, u) + \gamma \min_a Q_n(X_{n+1}, a) - Q_n(i, u) \right)$$

- $Q_n \rightarrow Q^*$ ⁴ where Q^* is a solution of

$$Q(i, u) = k(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j p(j|i, u) \min_a Q(j, a),$$

⁴Under appropriate conditions

Asynchronous vs Synchronous

- Synchronous - no Markov noise:

$$Q_{n+1}(i, u) = Q_n(i, u) + a(n) \\ \times \left(k(i, u) + \gamma \min_a Q_n(Y_{n+1}(i, u), a) - Q_n(i, u) \right)$$

- Asynchronous - has Markov noise:

$$Q_{n+1}(i, u) = Q_n(i, u) + a(n)I\{X_n = i, Z_n = u\} \\ \times \left(k(i, u) + \gamma \min_a Q_n(X_{n+1}, a) - Q_n(i, u) \right)$$

- Can be rewritten in the form of (1) - gives us probabilistic bounds on $\|Q_n - Q^*\|$

Main Result

Setup

- For $x_n = [x_n(1), \dots, x_n(d)]^T \in \mathcal{R}^d$,

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n, Y_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- Y_n - irreducible Markov chain taking values in a finite state space S

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_{n+1} = j | Y_n = i_n, \dots, Y_0 = i_0) &= P(Y_{n+1} = j | Y_n = i_n) \\ &= p(j | i_n), i_0, \dots, i_n, j \in S \end{aligned}$$

- With stationary Distribution - $\pi(\cdot)$

Setup

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n, Y_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- $\{M_n(x)\}$ - martingale difference sequence w.r.t.
 $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(x_0, M_m(x), x \in \mathcal{R}^d, m \leq n), n \geq 0$
- $E[M_{n+1}(x)|\mathcal{F}_n] = \theta$ a.s. $\forall x, n$
- $|M_n^l(x)| \leq K_0(1 + \|x\|)$ a.s., for some $K_0 > 0$

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n, Y_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- Contraction:

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in S} \pi(i)(F(x, i) - F(z, i)) \right\| \leq \alpha \|x - z\|, x, z \in \mathcal{R}^d$$

- $\tilde{F}_n(x, i) := F(x, i) + M_{n+1}(x)$ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{F}_n(x, i)\| \leq K + \alpha \|x\| \text{ a.s.}$$

Setup

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + a(n)(F(x_n, Y_n) - x_n + M_{n+1}(x_n)), n \geq 0,$$

- $a(n)$ - Non-negative stepsizes

$$\sum_n a(n) = \infty, \sum_n a(n)^2 < \infty$$

- Eventually non-increasing, i.e., there exists $n^* \geq 1$ such that $a(n+1) \leq a(n), \forall n \geq n^*$

Some Definitions

$$b_m(n) := \sum_{k=m}^n a(k), 0 \leq m \leq n < \infty$$

$$\beta(n) := \sup_{m \geq n} (1 - a(m+1))a(m)$$

$$\varphi(n) := \sup_{m \geq n} e^{a(m)}$$

$$\kappa(d) = \|\mathbb{1}\|$$

Theorem

Theorem 2.1

Let $n_0 \geq 0$ satisfy $\varphi(n_0) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $a(n_0) < 1$ and $a(n)$ is non-increasing after n_0 . Then there exist finite, positive constants c_1 , c_2 and D such that for $\delta > 0$ and $n \geq n_0$,

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq e^{-(1-\alpha)b_{n_0}(n)} \|x_{n_0} - x^*\| + \frac{\delta + (4a(n_0) + 2\varphi(n_0))c_1}{1 - \alpha\varphi(n_0)}$$

with probability exceeding

$$1 - 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta^2/\beta(n)}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq C\varphi(n_0),$$

$$1 - 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta/\beta(n)}, \quad \delta > C\varphi(n_0),$$

where $C = e^{\kappa(d)(K_0(1+\|x_{n_0}\| + \frac{K}{1-\alpha}) + c_2)}$.

Theorem (cont.)

Theorem 2.2

Let $n_0 \geq 0$ satisfy $\varphi(n_0) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $a(n_0) < 1$ and $a(n)$ is non-increasing after n_0 . Then there exist finite, positive constants c_1 , c_2 and D such that for $\delta > 0$,

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq e^{-(1-\alpha)b_{n_0}(n)} \|x_{n_0} - x^*\| + \frac{\delta + (4a(n_0) + 2\varphi(n_0))c_1}{1 - \alpha\varphi(n_0)} \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

with probability exceeding

$$1 - 2d \sum_{n \geq n_0} (n - n_0) e^{-D\delta^2/\beta(n)}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq C\varphi(n_0),$$

$$1 - 2d \sum_{n \geq n_0} (n - n_0) e^{-D\delta/\beta(n)}, \quad \delta > C\varphi(n_0).$$

Proof (Outline)

An Important Lemma

Lemma 3.1

$$\sup_n \|x_n\| \leq \|x_{n_0}\| + \frac{K}{1-\alpha} \text{ a.s. for } n \geq n_0$$

Proof (Outline): We use the fact that

$$\|\tilde{F}_n(x, i)\| \leq K + \alpha\|x\| \text{ a.s..}$$

and then proceed inductively. □

Proof of the Main Result

Proof (Outline):

- Define z_n for $n \geq n_0$ by:

$$z_{n+1} = z_n + a(n) \left(\sum_i \pi(i) F(z_n, i) - z_n \right), \quad (2)$$

where $z_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$.

- $\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \|x_n - z_n\| + \|z_n - x^*\|$.

Some Manipulation

Proof (cont.):

- With some manipulation:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} - z_{n+1} &= (1 - a(n))(x_n - z_n) \\ &+ a(n)M_{n+1} \\ &+ a(n)\left(\sum_i \pi(i)(F(x_n, i) - F(z_n, i))\right) \\ &+ a(n)\left(F(x_n, Y_n) - \sum_i \pi(i)F(x_n, i)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Further Manipulation

Proof (cont.):

- For $n, m \geq 0$, let $\phi(n, m) = \prod_{k=m}^n (1 - a(k))$ if $n \geq m$ and 1 otherwise. For some $n \geq n_0$, we iterate the above for $n_0 \leq m \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}x_{m+1} - z_{m+1} &= \sum_{k=n_0}^m \phi(m, k+1) a(k) M_{k+1} \\ &+ \sum_{k=n_0}^m \phi(m, k+1) a(k) \left(\sum_i \pi(i) (F(x_k, i) - F(z_k, i)) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n_0}^m \phi(m, k+1) a(k) (F(x_k, Y_k) - \sum_i \pi(i) F(x_k, i)).\end{aligned}$$

Poisson Equation

Proof (cont.):

- Poisson Equation:

$$V(x, i) = F(x, i) - \sum_j \pi(j)F(x, j) + \sum_j p(j|i)V(x, j). \quad (3)$$

- A possible solution:

$$V_1(x, i) = E_i \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} (F(x, Y_m) - \sum_j \pi(j)F(x, j)) \right], i \in S$$

- $V_{max} = \max_{x,i} \|V(x, i)\|$
- $V'_{max} = \max_{x,i,l} \|V^l(x, i)\|$

More Manipulations using Poisson equation

Proof (cont.):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n_0}^m \phi(m, k+1)a(k)(F(x_k, Y_k) - \sum_i \pi(i)F(x_k, i)) \\ &= \sum_{k=n_0+1}^m \phi(m, k+1)a(k)(V(x_k, Y_k) - \sum_j p(j|Y_{k-1})V(x_k, j)) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n_0+1}^m ((\phi(m, k+1)a(k) - \phi(m, k)a(k-1)) \sum_j p(j|Y_{k-1})V(x_k, j)) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n_0+1}^m \phi(m, k)a(k-1)(\sum_j p(j|Y_{k-1})(V(x_k, j) - V(x_{k-1}, j))) \\ &+ \phi(m, n_0+1)a(n_0)V(x_{n_0}, Y_{n_0}) - \phi(m, m+1)a(m) \sum_j p(j|Y_m)V(x_m, j) \end{aligned}$$

Some Final Manipulations

Proof (cont.):

- Define

$$\zeta_m = \kappa(d) \max_l \max_{n_0 \leq k \leq m} \left| \sum_{r=n_0}^{k-1} \phi(k, r+1) a(r) (M_{r+1}^l(x_r) + V_r^l(x_r)) \right|$$

- Define $x'_m = \sup_{n_0 \leq k \leq m} \|x_k - z_k\|$
- Then

$$x'_{m+1} \leq \alpha \varphi(n_0) x'_m + \zeta_n + V_c(n_0)$$

- And finally,

$$x'_m \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha \varphi(n_0)} (\zeta_n + V_c(n_0)), n_0 \leq m \leq n \quad (4)$$

Using A Scalar Martingale Inequality⁵

Proof (cont.):

- Then for a suitable constant $D > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, C\gamma_1]$, we have

$$P(\zeta_n \geq \delta) \leq 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta^2/\beta(n)} \quad (5)$$

and for $\delta > C\gamma_1$,

$$P(\zeta_n \geq \delta) \leq 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta/\beta(n)}. \quad (6)$$

- $C = e^{\kappa(d)(K_0(1+\|x_{n_0}\| + \frac{K}{1-\alpha}) + 2V'_{max})}$
- $\gamma_1 = \sup_{n \geq n_0} \varphi(n) = \varphi(n_0)$

⁵Appendix

Proof (cont.):

$$z_{n+1} - x^* = (1 - a(n))(z_n - x^*) + a(n) \sum_i \pi(i)(F(z_n, i) - F(x^*, i)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)a(n)) \|z_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq e^{-(1-\alpha)b_{n_0}(n)} \|x_{n_0} - x^*\| \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The End

Proof (cont.):

- Combine (7) with (4) and use the fact that $\zeta_n < \delta$ holds with probabilities given by (5) and (6).



Asynchronous Q-Learning

A Brief Introduction (Again)

- Consider finite state space S and finite action space A
- At each time step n , agent chooses action $Z_n \in A$ when it is in state $X_n \in S$
- Markov control policy:

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_m, Z_m, m \leq n) = p(j | X_n, Z_n) \quad \forall n,$$

- Objective: Minimize

$$E \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma^m k(X_m, Z_m) \right]$$

A Brief Introduction (Again)

- Q-Learning Algorithm:

$$Q_{n+1}(i, u) = Q_n(i, u) + a(n)I\{X_n = i, Z_n = u\} \\ \times \left(k(i, u) + \gamma \min_a Q_n(X_{n+1}, a) - Q_n(i, u) \right)$$

- $Q_n \rightarrow Q^*$ ⁶ where Q^* is a solution of

$$Q(i, u) = k(i, u) + \alpha \sum_j p(j|i, u) \min_a Q(j, a),$$

⁶Under appropriate conditions

Applying our Result

- Assume off-line simulation with a fixed randomized stationary policy. For application of our theorem
- (X_n, Z_n) together forms the Markov chain with the transition probabilities as:

$$P(j, v|i, u) = p(j|i, u)\Phi(v|j)$$

- $\Phi(v|j)$ is the randomized policy
- Stationary distribution for this Markov chain - $\pi(i, u) = \pi_\Phi(i)\Phi(u|i)$
- Under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

Applying our Result

- Can be rewritten as:

$$Q_{n+1}(i, u) = Q_n(i, u) + a(n) \left(F^{(i,u)}(Q_n, Y_n) - Q_n(i, u) + M_{n+1}^{(i,u)}(Q_n) \right)$$

- where

$$F^{i,u}(Q, X, Y) = I\{X = i, Z = u\} \times \\ \left(k(i, u) + \gamma \sum_j p(j|i, u) \min_a Q(j, a) - Q(i, u) \right) + Q(i, u)$$

- and

$$M_{n+1}^{i,u}(Q) = \gamma I\{X_n = i, Z_n = u\} \times \\ \left(\min_a Q(X_{n+1}, a) - \sum_j p(j|i, u) \min_a Q(j, a) \right).$$

Applying our Result

- Most assumptions of the theorem can be easily verified
- The map $\sum_{i,u} \pi(i,u)F(\cdot, i, u)$ is a contraction with $\alpha = (1 - (1 - \gamma)\pi_{min})$
- The result can be used on iterates Q_n .

Corollary 4.1

Let $n_0 \geq 0$ satisfy $\varphi(n_0) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $a(n_0) < 1$ and $a(n)$ is non-increasing after n_0 . Then there exist finite positive constants c_1 , c_2 and D such that for $\delta > 0$ and $n \geq n_0$,

$$\|Q_n - Q^*\| \leq e^{-(1-\alpha)b_{n_0}(n)} \|Q_{n_0} - Q^*\| + \frac{\delta + (4a(n_0) + 2\varphi(n_0))c_1}{1 - \alpha\varphi(n_0)}$$

with probability exceeding

$$1 - 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta^2/\beta(n)}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq C\varphi(n_0),$$

$$1 - 2d(n - n_0)e^{-D\delta/\beta(n)}, \quad \delta > C\varphi(n_0),$$

where $C = e^{\kappa(d)(2(1+\|Q_{n_0}\|_\infty + \frac{\|k\|_\infty}{1-\alpha})+c_2)}$.

Corollary 4.2

Let $n_0 \geq 0$ satisfy $\varphi(n_0) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $a(n_0) < 1$ and $a(n)$ is non-increasing after n_0 . Then there exist finite positive constants c_1 , c_2 and D such that for $\delta > 0$ and for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\|Q_n - Q^*\| \leq e^{-(1-\alpha)b_{n_0}(n)} \|Q_{n_0} - Q^*\| + \frac{\delta + (4a(n_0) + 2\varphi(n_0))c_1}{1 - \alpha\varphi(n_0)},$$

with probability exceeding

$$1 - 2d \sum_{n \geq n_0} (n - n_0) e^{-D\delta^2/\beta(n)}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq C\varphi(n_0),$$

$$1 - 2d \sum_{n \geq n_0} (n - n_0) e^{-D\delta/\beta(n)}, \quad \delta > C\varphi(n_0).$$

Thank You!

Appendix: A Concentration Inequality

Let $\{M_n\}$ be a real valued martingale difference sequence with respect to an increasing family of σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$. Assume that there exist $\varepsilon, C > 0$ such that

$$E \left[e^{\varepsilon |M_n|} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] \leq C \quad \forall n \geq 1, \text{ a.s.}$$

Let $S_n := \sum_{m=1}^n \xi_{m,n} M_m$, where $\xi_{m,n}$, $m \leq n$, for each n , are a.s. bounded $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ -previsible random variables, i.e., $\xi_{m,n}$ is \mathcal{F}_{m-1} -measurable $\forall m \geq 1$, and $|\xi_{m,n}| \leq A_{m,n}$ a.s. for some constant $A_{m,n}$, $\forall m, n$. Suppose

$$\sum_{m=1}^n A_{m,n} \leq \gamma_1, \quad \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} A_{m,n} \leq \gamma_2 \omega(n),$$

for some $\gamma_i, \omega(n) > 0$, $i = 1, 2; n \geq 1$. Then we have:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ depending on $\varepsilon, C, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$P(|S_n| > \varepsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{D\varepsilon^2}{\omega(n)}}, \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{C\gamma_1}{\varepsilon}\right], \quad (45)$$

$$2e^{-\frac{D\varepsilon}{\omega(n)}}, \quad \text{otherwise.} \quad (46)$$

This is a variant of Theorem 1.1 of [22]. See [3], Theorem A.1, pp. 21-23, for details.