

# Non-Expansive Mappings in Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation

---

Siddharth Chandak

June 30, 2025

Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University

- Framework
- An Interesting Variant: Projections
- Convergence Rates
- Applications

# Framework

---

## Two-Time-Scale Iterations

- Coupled iterations updating on separate time-scales

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k(f(x_k, y_k) - x_k + M_{k+1})$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \beta_k(g(x_k, y_k) - y_k + M'_{k+1})$$

- Want to solve  $f(x, y) = x$  and  $g(x, y) = y$  given noisy realizations
- $M_{k+1}$  and  $M'_{k+1}$  are martingale difference noise sequences arising from noisy observations
- Timescales dictated by the different stepsizes  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$

## Two-Time-Scale Iterations

Faster:  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k(f(x_k, y_k) - x_k + M_{k+1})$

Slower:  $y_{k+1} = y_k + \beta_k(g(x_k, y_k) - y_k + M'_{k+1})$

- $\alpha_k$  is larger, or decays at a slower rate, e.g.,  $1/n^{0.6}$
- $\beta_k$  is smaller, or decays at a faster rate, e.g.,  $1/n^{0.75}$
- Analysis
  - Faster time-scale:  $y_k$  considered quasi-static
  - Slower time-scale:  $x_k$  tracks  $x^*(y_k)$ , the fixed point for  $f(\cdot, y_k)$

# Contractive and Non-Expansive Mappings

- Contractive:

- There exists  $0 \leq \mu < 1$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2$ ,

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \leq \mu \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

- Equivalent to  $x - f(x)$  being a strongly monotone operator<sup>1</sup>

- Non-expansive:

- For all  $x_1, x_2$ ,

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

- Equivalent to  $x - f(x)$  being a co-coercive operator<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>contractive  $\iff$  strongly monotone and Lipschitz

<sup>2</sup>non-expansive  $\iff$  co-coercive

- $f(x, y)$  is  $\mu$ -contractive in  $x$ 
  - $\|f(x_1, y) - f(x_2, y)\| \leq \mu \|x_1 - x_2\|$ , for all  $x_1, x_2, y$
  - For each  $y$ , there exists unique  $x^*(y)$  such that  $f(x^*(y), y) = x^*(y)$
- $g(x^*(y), y)$  is non-expansive
  - $\|g(x^*(y_1), y_1) - g(x^*(y_2), y_2)\| \leq \|y_1 - y_2\|$
  - Assume that set of fixed points of  $g(x^*(\cdot), \cdot)$  is non-empty (denote by  $\mathcal{Y}^*$ )

## Our Framework

- $f(x, y)$  is  $\mu$ -contractive in  $x$
- $g(x^*(y), y)$  is non-expansive

---

## Other Finite-Time Analysis Works

- $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x^*(y), y)$  are contractive in  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively
- Unique fixed points and solution to  $f(x^*, y^*) = x^*$  and  $g(x^*, y^*) = y^*$
- Stronger convergence results



## **An Interesting Variant**

---

## Projected Variant

---

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k + \alpha_k(f(x_k, y_k) - x_k + M_{k+1})) \\y_{k+1} &= y_k + \beta_k(g(x_k, y_k) - y_k + M'_{k+1})\end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ : Projection onto  $\mathcal{X}$ , a convex and bounded set
- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \arg \min_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} \|x - x'\|$
- How this setup is different?
  - Relevant fixed point is  $\hat{x}(y)$ , the fixed point for  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(\cdot, y))$
  - $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(\cdot, y))$  still assumed to be  $\mu$ -contractive
  - Now  $g(\hat{x}(\cdot), \cdot)$  assumed to be non-expansive

## Interesting Scenarios

---

- Recall that  $x^*(y)$  is fixed point for  $f(\cdot, y)$  and  $\hat{x}(y)$  is fixed point for  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(\cdot, y))$
- There exist scenarios where  $g(x^*(y), y)$  is contractive, but  $g(\hat{x}(y), y)$  is non-expansive (and not contractive)
- **Implication:** Faster convergence rate analysis possible in absence of projection

# Convergence Rates

---

# Assumptions

- Functions  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot, \cdot)$  are  $L$ -Lipschitz
- $M_{k+1}$  and  $M'_{k+1}$  are martingale difference sequences with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(x_0, y_0, M_i, M'_i, i \leq k)$ . Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|M_{k+1}\|^2 + \|M'_{k+1}\|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \leq \mathfrak{c}_2(1 + \|x_k\|^2 + \|y_k\|^2), \forall k \geq 0$$

- Stepsize Sequences:

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\alpha_0}{(k+1)^{\mathfrak{a}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \frac{\beta_0}{(k+1)^{\mathfrak{b}}},$$

where  $0.5 < \mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{b} < 1$ . Importantly,

$$\frac{\beta_k^2}{\alpha_k^3} \leq 1$$

## Theorem

The iterates satisfy the following:

- $\mathbb{E} [\|x_k - x^*(y_k)\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(1/(k+1)^a)$
  - $\mathbb{E} [\|g(x^*(y_k), y_k) - y_k\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(1/(k+1)^{1-b})$
  - $\|x_k - x^*(y_k)\|$  converges to zero, and the iterates  $y_k$  converge to the set  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  with probability 1.
- 
- **Remark:** The same result holds for the projected variant as well (replacing  $x^*(y)$  with  $\hat{x}(y)$ )

# Optimal Rate

---

- Want to maximize  $1 - \mathfrak{b}$  under the constraints,  $0.5 < \mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{b}$  and  $2\mathfrak{b} \geq 3\mathfrak{a}$
- Optimal Rate:  $\mathcal{O}(1/k^{0.25-\epsilon})$ , achieved at  $\alpha_k = 1/(k+1)^{0.5+2/3\epsilon}$  and  $\beta_k = 1/(k+1)^{0.75+\epsilon}$
- Here  $\epsilon > 0$  can be arbitrarily small

# Comparison

Contractive-Nonexpansive	Contractive-Contractive <sup>3</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <math>\mathbb{E} [\ x_k - x^*(y_k)\ ^2] = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^a} \right)</math></li><li>• <math>\mathbb{E} [\ g(x^*(y_k), y_k) - y_k\ ^2] = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^{1-b}} \right)</math></li><li>• Optimal Rate: <math>\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^{0.25-\epsilon}} \right)</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <math>\alpha_k = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^{0.5+2/3\epsilon}} \right)</math></li><li>• <math>\beta_k = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^{0.75+\epsilon}} \right)</math></li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <math>\mathbb{E} [\ x_k - x^*(y_k)\ ^2] = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^a} \right)</math></li><li>• <math>\mathbb{E} [\ y_k - y^*\ ^2] = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k^a} \right)</math></li><li>• Optimal Rate: <math>\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <math>\alpha_k = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)</math></li><li>• <math>\beta_k = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)</math></li></ul></li></ul>

---

<sup>3</sup>Chandak, Siddharth. "O(1/k) Finite-Time Bound for Non-Linear Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation." *arXiv:2504.19375* (2025).



# Applications

---

# Linear Stochastic Approximation

- Want to solve  $A_{11}x + A_{12}y = b_1$  and  $A_{21}x + A_{22}y = b_2$ .
- Receive unbiased estimates  $\tilde{A}_{ij}^{(k+1)}$  and  $\tilde{b}_i^{(k+1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= x_k + \alpha_k \left( \tilde{b}_1^{(k+1)} - \tilde{A}_{11}^{(k+1)} x_k - \tilde{A}_{12}^{(k+1)} y_k \right) \\y_{k+1} &= y_k + \beta_k \left( \tilde{b}_2^{(k+1)} - \tilde{A}_{21}^{(k+1)} x_k - \tilde{A}_{22}^{(k+1)} y_k \right).\end{aligned}$$

- Define  $\Delta = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$

## Corollary

If  $-A_{11}$  is negative definite and  $-\Delta$  is (non-zero) negative semidefinite, then

$$\mathbb{E} [\|A_{11}x + A_{12}y - b_1\|^2 + \|A_{21}x + A_{22}y - b_2\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(1/k^{0.25-\epsilon})$$

# Strongly Concave-Convex Minimax Optimization

- Minimax Optimization Problem:  $\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}} H(x, y)$
- Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Gradient Descent Ascent (TTSGDA):

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k (\nabla_x H(x_k, y_k) + M_{k+1})$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \beta_k (-\nabla_y H(x_k, y_k) + M'_{k+1}).$$

- Define  $\Phi(y) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}} H(x, y)$

## Corollary

If  $H(x, y)$  is smooth, strongly concave in  $x$  and convex in  $y$ , then the iterates converge to the set of saddle points of  $H(x, y)$  a.s., and  $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \Phi(y)\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(1/k^{0.25-\epsilon})$ .

maximize  $G(x)$

subject to:

$$H_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$Ax = b_0.$$

- $\mathcal{X} = \{x \mid H_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$
- $Ax = b_0$  represents the additional linear constraint
- Two-time-scale Lagrangian optimization:

$$x_{k+1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k + \alpha_k(\nabla G(x_k) - A^T \lambda_k + M_{k+1}))$$

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \beta_k(Ax_k - b_0).$$

- Applications:
  - Distributed optimization with separate local and global constraints
  - Game control or generalized Nash equilibrium problems (GNEP)

# Constrained Optimization

- Scenario where projection leads to non-expansiveness
- Consider no inequality constraints

$$\text{maximize } G(x)$$

subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \cancel{H_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m} \\ & Ax = b_0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \cancel{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}}(x_k + \alpha_k(\nabla G(x_k) - A^T \lambda_k + M_{k+1})) \\ \lambda_{k+1} &= \lambda_k + \beta_k(Ax_k - b_0). \end{aligned}$$

- This is an example of contractive-contractive iterations

- Coming back to our original constrained problem with the following two-time-scale iterations:

$$x_{k+1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k + \alpha_k(\nabla G(x_k) - A^T \lambda_k + M_{k+1}))$$

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + \beta_k(Ax_k - b_0).$$

## Theorem

For continuously differentiable and convex  $H_i(\cdot)$  and if there exists  $x \in \text{int}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $Ax = b_0$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[\|A\hat{x}(\lambda_k) - b_0\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(1/k^{0.25-\epsilon})$$

**Thank You!**

# Thank You!

---

The talk was primarily based on

- Chandak, Siddharth, "Non-Expansive Mappings in Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation: Finite-Time Analysis." *arXiv:2501.10806* (2025).

with some results from

- Chandak, Siddharth, " $O(1/k)$  Finite-Time Bound for Non-Linear Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation." *arXiv:2504.19375* (2025).
- Chandak, Siddharth, Ilai Bistritz, and Nicholas Bambos, "Learning to Control Unknown Strongly Monotone Games." *arXiv:2407.00575* (2024).