Stanford University

Learning to Control Unknown Strongly Monotone Games

Siddharth Chandak

Joint work with Prof. Ilai Bistritz (Tel Aviv University) and Prof. Nicholas Bambos (Stanford University)

Outline

- Overview
  - Game Control
  - Strongly Monotone Games and Nash Equilibrium
- Equilibrium Steering
  - Steering via Linear Utility Parameters
  - Two-time-scale Stochastic Approximation

Overview

Multi-Agent Systems





Multi-Agent Games

- Game with N agents or players
- Each player n takes action x_n
- Utility (Reward): $u_n(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$





Local Objective

- **Local Objective:** Each player n wants to maximize their reward $u_n(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ under the constraint of limited feedback

Bandit Feedback



$$\mathbf{x(t)} = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$$

Game Manager

- Game Manager or System Controller
  - Control some parameter θ of the game
  - For example, can control the action set of players, or the utilities of players
  - We focus on the latter
- Have their own objective - the **"Global Objective"**
  - Each player is optimizing for the local objective of $u_n(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$
  - The manager is optimizing for the global objective of $\Phi(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$
  - Bandit feedback

Game Control



Evolution of Players' Actions

- How do players update their actions?
- Converge to Nash equilibrium?
- We focus on a class of games called **Strongly Monotone Games**

Strongly Monotone Games and Nash Equilibrium

Strongly Monotone Games

- Class of continuous action games
- Unique pure Nash Equilibrium (NE)
- Each player performing gradient ascent on their utilities leads to convergence to NE
  - Stronger than just convergence
  - *Intuitively:* multi-agent extension of strongly concave functions

Definition

- Suppose player n chooses actions in $\mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ where $\mathcal{X}_n$ is convex and compact
- Define the concatenated gradient operator $G(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{Nd} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{Nd}$ as

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = (\nabla_{x_1} u_1(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{-1}), \ldots, \nabla_{x_N} u_N(x_N, \mathbf{x}_{-N})),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$

Definition 1 (Strongly Monotone Games)

There exists $\mu > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, G(\mathbf{y}) - G(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \leq -\mu \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2$$

Nash Equilibrium

- Suppose each player updates their actions as follows (for stepsize η_t):

$$x_{n,t+1} = x_{n,t} + \eta_t \nabla_{x_n} u_n(x_{n,t}, \mathbf{x}_{-n,t})$$

- Converges to unique pure NE $\mathbf{x}^*$

Definition 2

An action profile $\mathbf{x}^*$ is a pure Nash equilibrium (NE) if $u_n(x_n^*, \mathbf{x}^*{}_{-n}) \geq u_n(x_n, \mathbf{x}^*{}_{-n})$, for all $x_n \in \mathcal{X}_n$ and all $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

Is this NE what we want?

- A NE is not always *desirable*
- Issues:
  - Inequality
  - Inefficiency - Braess' Paradox
  - Operational Issues - Resource Allocation Games

Resource Allocation Games

- K resources
- Each player's action is $K-$dimensional, where the k^{th} dimension represents the amount of k^{th} resource they use
- Example: electricity grids and wireless channels
- At NE - often a few resources are heavily used, creating pressure on system

	Hour 1	Hour 2	$\cdots$	Hour 24
Player 1	250 W	1000 W	$\cdots$	100 W
Player 2	150 W	800 W	$\cdots$	50 W
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
Player N	400 W	1500 W	$\cdots$	0 W

A Controlled Strongly Monotone Game

- Recall that utilities are given by $u_n(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$
- Players update their actions using gradient ascent

$$x_{n,t+1} = x_{n,t} + \eta_t \nabla_{x_n} u_n(\mathbf{x}_t; \theta_t)$$

- For fixed θ, players converge to some $\mathbf{x}^*(\theta)$

Learning to Control Unknown Multi-Agent Systems

- **Problem Statement:** How to choose the control θ_t such that the players converge to a desirable NE under noisy bandit feedback?

Linear Utility Parameters

Linear Coefficients

- Each player takes action $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(d)})$ in a compact and convex set $\mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$
- Utility for each player is given by:

$$u_n(\mathbf{x}, \beta_n^{(1)}, \ldots, \beta_n^{(d)}) = r_n(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_n^{(i)} x_n^{(i)}$$

- $r_n(x)$ - reward from 'original' uncontrolled game without any control
- $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_n^{(i)} x_n^{(i)}$ - linear shift in utility

Control Parameter and Manager's Objective

- $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_n^{(i)} x_n^{(i)}$ - linear shift in utility
- The controllable game parameter θ is the Nd-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- Steer the players' NE towards a point that satisfies K linear constraints:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\ell}^*$$

- Manager only observes the constraint violation $A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*$

Application: Resource Allocation

- Recall that $x_n^{(i)}$ denotes how much player n uses resource i
- Suppose the constraints are of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^{(i)} = \ell_i^*$$

  for each resource $i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$
- Then the manager can set β_i for each resource i (constant across all players)
  - Additional price or subsidy on using a resource
- Can be extended to weighted resource allocation by separate price for each player as well

Assumptions and Problem Formulation

- The uncontrolled game with utilities $r_n(\mathbf{x})$ is strongly monotone
  - Let $F(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq (\nabla_{x_1} r_1(x_1, \mathbf{x}_{-1}), \ldots, \nabla_{x_N} r_N(x_N, \mathbf{x}_{-N}))$

$$\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, F(\mathbf{y}) - F(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \leq -\mu \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2$$

  - Gradient operator for controlled game is $G(\mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\beta}$
  - Implies that the controlled game is also strongly monotone
- Mapping $F(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous
- At each timestep, player n observes noisy version of gradient of reward: $\nabla_{x_n} r_n(\mathbf{x}_t) + M_{n,t+1}$
  - $M_{n,t+1}$ is martingale difference noise with bounded second moment
- Slater's condition holds

Online Game Control Algorithm

Algorithm (Online Game Control)

Initialization: Let $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^K$.

For each turn $t \geq 0$ **do**

1. The manager broadcasts $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ to the players
2. The manager observes the vector $A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*$ and updates the controlled input using

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*).$$

3. Each player n computes $\beta_{n,t} = A_n^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ and updates its action using gradient ascent:

$$x_{n,t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_n}\left(x_{n,t} + \eta_t\left(\nabla_{x_n} r_n(\mathbf{x}_t) + M_{n,t+1} - \beta_{n,t}\right)\right)$$

where $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}_n}$ is the Euclidean projection into $\mathcal{X}_n$.

End

Understanding the Algorithm

- Vectorized Form:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\Big(\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t \left(F(\mathbf{x}_t) - A^T\alpha_t + M_{t+1}\right)\Big)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*)$$

- Instead of directly transmitting $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}$, manager updates and transmits $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t \in \mathbb{R}^K$, such that $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t = A^T\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$
- Iterative approach to solving the constrained optimization problem using Lagrange multipliers

Two-time-scale Stochastic Approximation (SA)

- Our algorithm is a two-time-scale stochastic approximation algorithm

$$\text{Faster: } \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\Big(\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t \left(F(\mathbf{x}_t) - A^T\alpha_t + M_{t+1}\right)\Big)$$

$$\text{Slower: } \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*)$$

- Timescales dictated by stepsizes η_t and ϵ_t
  - η_t is larger, or decays at a slower rate, e.g., $1/n^{0.6}$
  - ϵ_t is smaller, or decays at a faster rate, e.g., $1/n^{0.75}$
- Intuition:
  - Faster time-scale: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ considered quasi-static
  - Slower time-scale: $\mathbf{x}_t$ tracks $\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t)$, the NE corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$

Time-scale Separation

- Condition on stepsizes:

$$\eta_t = \frac{1}{(t+T_1)^{\eta}} \text{ and } \epsilon_t = \frac{1}{(t+T_2)^{\epsilon}},$$

where $0.5 < \eta < \epsilon < 1$. Importantly,

$$\frac{\epsilon_t^2}{\eta_t^3} \leq 1$$

Results

Theorem

Define $\mathcal{N}_{opt} = \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid A\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \boldsymbol{\ell}^*\}$. Then

- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t$ converges to the set $\mathcal{N}_{opt}$, $\mathbf{x}_t$ converges to $\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t)$, and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} A\mathbf{x}_t = \boldsymbol{\ell}^*,$$

  with probability 1.
- $\mathbb{E}[\|A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*\|^2] = \mathcal{O}\left(\eta_t + \frac{1}{t\epsilon_t}\right)$.

The best rate based on above result is $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-0.25+\delta}\right)$, where δ is arbitrarily small. This is achieved at $\eta = 0.5 + \delta/3$ and $\epsilon = 0.75 + \delta$.

Simulations



Analysis

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\Big(\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t \left(F(\mathbf{x}_t) - A^T\alpha_t + M_{t+1}\right)\Big)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(A\mathbf{x}_t - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*)$$

- Can be expressed as fixed-point iterations:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\big(\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t(f(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t) - \mathbf{x}_t + M_{t+1})\big)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \omega_t)$$

- Here
  - $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{x} + F(\mathbf{x}) - A^T\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
  - $g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha} + (A\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \boldsymbol{\ell}^*)$
  - $\omega_t = A\mathbf{x}_t - A\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t)$ is the equilibrium noise

Analysis

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\big(\mathbf{x}_t + \eta_t(f(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t) - \mathbf{x}_t + M_{t+1})\big)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \epsilon_t(g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_t) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t + \omega_t)$$

- $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is contractive in $\mathbf{x}$:

  $$\|f(\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) - f(\mathbf{x}_2, \boldsymbol{\alpha})\| \leq \lambda \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|,$$

  for some $0 \leq \lambda < 1$
  - Unique fixed point for faster time-scale for given $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ - the NE $\mathbf{x}^*(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$
- $g(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is non-expansive:

  $$\|g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1) - g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2)\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2\|$$

Analysis

- Two-time-scale SA widely studied when both time-scales have contractive mapping
- We have contractive in faster and non-expansive in slower time-scale
  - Requires novel analysis
  - Leads to a slower decay rate

An interesting observation

- Why do we have to deal with a non-expansive mapping in the slower time-scale?
- Projection in the faster time-scale
  - Each player has a convex and compact action set
- In the absence of this projection, both time-scales have contractive mapping [Chandak (2025)[1]]
  - A rate of $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$ can be achieved [Chandak (2025)[2]]

[1] Chandak, Siddharth, "Non-Expansive Mappings in Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation: Finite-Time Analysis." *arXiv:2501.10806* (2025).
[2] Chandak, Siddharth, "$O(1/k)$ Finite-Time Bound for Non-Linear Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation." *arXiv:2504.19375 (2025)*.

Conclusions

- Steering players towards a desirable equilibrium
- Discussed one specific scenario: Linear shift in utility
  - Proposed a two-time-scale SA algorithm
- Many other scenarios with varying assumptions and applications
  - Discrete control choices
  - Limited communication settings
  - Beyond NE

Thank You!

Thank You!

This talk was primarily based on

- Chandak, Siddharth, Ilai Bistritz, and Nicholas Bambos, "Learning to Control Unknown Strongly Monotone Games." *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems (TCNS), to appear*.

Results on two-time-scale SA (more discussion on the projection in the faster time-scale):

- Chandak, Siddharth, "Non-Expansive Mappings in Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation: Finite-Time Analysis." *arXiv:2501.10806* (2025).
- Chandak, Siddharth, "$O(1/k)$ Finite-Time Bound for Non-Linear Two-Time-Scale Stochastic Approximation." *arXiv:2504.19375* (2025).